



## 2019-2020 PIEAC Governance Survey Results

In order to support a culture of continuous quality improvement, Coastline conducts annual surveys across all shared governance committees as means to provide information to facilitate the development of strategies to strengthen committee effectiveness in the following year. The subsequent information provides a summary of the 2019-2020 survey results.

Table 1: <i>Committee Effectiveness Behaviors</i>	Always	Often	Sometimes	Seldom
<b>COLLABORATIVE:</b> Sharing, inclusive, open to input, respectful of diverse opinions, characterized by meaningful dialogue	64.29%	35.71%	0.00%	0.00%
<b>TRANSPARENT:</b> Open, easy to understand, clearly defined, characterized by effective and meaningful communication with the College community	64.29%	28.57%	7.14%	0.00%
<b>EVIDENCE-BASED:</b> Reliant upon relevant, accurate, complete, timely qualitative and/or quantitative information, not based solely on assertions, speculations, or anecdotes	78.57%	14.29%	7.14%	0.00%
<b>EFFECTIVE:</b> Working properly and productively towards the committee's intended results	78.57%	21.43%	0.00%	0.00%
<b>EFFICIENT:</b> Performing well with the least waste of time and effort, characterized by serving the committee's specified purposes in the best possible manner	71.43%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%

Participation Rate was 58.3% of the 24 members

Table 1 indicates that 94.3% of the time the committee always or often exhibits effective committee interactive behaviors.

100% of the committee members that participated indicated:

- They clearly understand the mandate of the committee
- They felt comfortable sharing ideas, felt that they were treated with respect and have sufficient opportunity to provide input.
- The committees were on-time with the appropriate materials available
- The co-chairs operated the committee effectively
- The length of the meeting was appropriate
- The quality of access to information, meeting space location and resources to conduct the committee were rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- The quality of communication within the committee was rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- Access to information and other resources was rated highly favorable (good/very good).
- There are sufficient opportunities to provide input
- The quality of communication from the committee to constituency groups and the college as a whole was rated highly favorable (good/very good).

**Highlighted accomplishments** include: The committee met all scheduled planning deadlines, updated the KPIs, College Goals, and Master Plan

**Suggestions for improvement:** The committee should consider meeting once a month.